2 3

1

4 5

First report of cherry virus Turkey in sweet cherry in Greece

A. Katsiani¹, S. Li², J. Zhou², E. Demertzi¹, N.I. Katis¹, V. I. Maliogka^{1*}

¹Laboratory of Plant Pathology, School of Agriculture, Faculty of Agriculture,
Forestry and Natural Environment, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, 54124
Thessaloniki, Greece

9 ²State Key Laboratory of Biology of Plant Diseases and Insect Pests, Institute of Plant

- Protection, Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Beijing, 100094, P.R. China
 *vmaliogk@agro.auth.gr
- 12

13 The number of viruses identified in sweet cherry has been constantly increasing over 14 the last few years, following the broad application of high throughput sequencing (HTS). Some of these were reported to cause leaf symptoms and yield reduction. In 15 2009-2013, surveys were performed on sweet cherry orchards located in Northern 16 17 Greece for the presence of Betaflexiviridae viruses using generic and specific molecular assays (Foissac et al., 2005). Sanger sequencing of a generic reverse 18 transcription polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) product originated from a sample 19 20 collected from a symptomless sweet cherry cv. Ferrovia revealed a virus sequence sharing 75% nucleotide (nt) similarity with a highly conserved portion of the 21 betaflexivirus polymerase gene. Total RNA, extracted with the TRIzol reagent 22 23 (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) from leaves of this sample, was subjected to HTS analysis on an Illumina Hi-seq 4000 platform (Novogene Co., Tiangen, China). The 24 run, after quality control, yielded 26,002,319 of 150 nt long paired-end reads. De novo 25 assembly of these reads using Geneious Prime 2019.2.3 and Velvet and subsequent 26 blast analysis (BLASTn/x) of 82 contigs revealed, apart from several known sweet 27 cherry viruses (cherry virus A, prunus virus F, little cherry virus 1), the presence of a 28 newly described *Robigovirus* species. More specifically, the BLAST results revealed 29 that 8 de novo contigs ranging from 277 to 2287 nt long were similar to the sequence 30 of the recently reported cherry virus Turkey (CVTR) (Caglayan et al., 2019). The near 31 complete genome sequence consisting of 8362 nucleotides (99.5%) of the Greek 32 CVTR isolate (CVTR-C18GR, accession no. MT043307) was reconstructed using 33 iterative mapping of the reads to the *de novo* contigs, with 4690 reads mapped in total, 34 and Sanger sequencing of RT-PCR amplicons to fill the sequence gaps. Similarity 35 36 analysis of the CVTR-C18GR sequence with the full virus sequences from GenBank CVTR-BUR12 (MK600387) and CVTRAZQ (MH177869) showed 90.47% and 37 90.60% nucleotide identities, respectively. Phylogenetic analyses confirmed the 38 39 clustering of C18GR with other CVTR isolates. To investigate for the virus presence in Greece, primers F2Rob (5'-GTCAGAGAGAGAGGTATCTATGTC-3') and RT1Rob 40 (5'-CAGGCTGTTCATAACCT-3'), which amplify 577 nts of CVTR replicase, were 41 designed. Sixty six samples from sweet cherry trees showing no virus-like symptoms 42 were collected from various orchards and screened for the presence of CVTR. Eight 43 of them were found to be infected with the new virus. Sanger sequencing of sample 44 45 C11GR amplicon confirmed the presence of CVTR in Greek fields (96% nts similarity with C18GR, accession no. MT043308). Sequence similarity between 46 C11GR and C18GR isolates was also high (97% nts) in partial TGB2, TGB3 and CP 47 genomic regions amplified with primer pair 7006 F (5'-48 GAAAAGTGATTATTCAGCRCCAGT-3') (5'-49 and 7708 R CTTTCACCCACTCATCACCTATCTCC-3') (accession no. MT461407). This is the 50

51 first report of this virus in Greece, thus extending the information on its geographical 52 distribution. Robigoviruses cause variable symptoms and diseases in sweet and sour 53 cherries and for that reason they are included in the European Commission Directive 54 concerning official inspections (2019/2072/EU). Additional screenings are necessary 55 to evaluate the presence and impact of CVTR in sweet cherry orchards in Greece.

- 56
- 57
- 58
- 59

This study was partially funded by VirFree. This project has received funding from the
European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under the Marie
Skłodowska-Curie grant agreement No 734736. This publication reflects only the authors'

view. The Agency is not responsible for any use that may be made of the information it contains. Moreover, this research has been co-financed by the European Union and Greek national funds through the Operational Program Competitiveness, Entrepreneurship and

- 66 Innovation, under the call RESEARCH CREATE INNOVATE (project code:T1EDK-67 05438).
- 68

69 References

- 70 Caglayan, K., et al., 2019. **Pathogens** 8: 57.
- 71 https://doi.org/10.3390/pathogens8020057
- 72 Foissac, X., et al., 2005. **Phytopathology** 95: 617–625.
- 73 https://doi.org/10.1094/PHYTO-95-0617